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1. Vampiromania in the Eighteenth Century: The Other Side of Enlightenment

Strange shadows persisted in the period which is commonly called the Enlightenment, le Siècle des Lumières, Aufklärung, illuminismo, siglo de las Luces, seculo das Luzes, prosvečenie, or prosvećenost. The Age of Reason was not only the time of the philosophers, neoclassical rules and certified traditions. It was also the era of rococo decoration and architecture, Piranesi’s Prisons; of gloomy nights, haunted churchyards, and desolate ruins in the poetry of Young, Gray, Thomson, and Gessner; of the tortured sensibility of Richardson’s novels, the anguish of Manon Lescaut, the Nouvelle Héloise and Werther; of the integral originality of the Sturm und Drang group and of Sebastian Mercier; of the ‘crisis of laughter’ which began with the black humour of Swift’s Modest Proposal; of Macpherson’s ‘Ossian’ and the rediscovery of genuine ballads, tales and legends of folklore; of nightmarish Gothic romances, dark tales of brigandism, and de Sade’s reversal of codes and morals; of Germanic pietism, Wesley’s methodology, and Fénélon’s and Rousseau’s personalized catholicism of feelings; and it was also the time of the esotericism and il­luminism of secret societies … .

Intense feeling for the holy and the daemonic persisted throughout the period. Controversies over miracles, divination, witchcraft, and possession by evil spirits swept Europe and some of the colonies. Probably the most fashionable and the most scandalous irrational element was the fear of living corpses: those who have perished more than once, have known
the land of darkness and the shadow of death, and who have still refused to conform to Pascal’s maxim ‘On mourra seul.’ The troublesome walking dead belonged, of course, to the beliefs and superstitions of all times and nations. Preternatural beings that are said to suck the blood of persons at night seem to have been known in most regions of the world at least since the times of Sumer, Babylon, Assyria, the Egypt of the pharaohs, and the Jews of the Old Testament, as well as in the younger civilizations of India, China, and Japan, and in the Graeco-Roman and Arabic cultures. In literature, the most famous infamous feeders on blood, among many ancient ones, have been the Indian and Arabic ghoul, the Greek λαμία, and Roman larva.3

In the Middle Ages, rulers and church dignitaries often had to forbid the opening of graves and killing of corpses, regardless of the deceased’s reputation. Such prohibitions were made or mentioned by Charlemagne in the eighth century, by Bishop Burchard of Worms around 1000, the Second Council of Limoges in 1031, the theologian Guillaume d’Auvergne in the first half and the Dominican judge Etienne de Bourbon in the middle of the thirteenth century, and by the Serbian emperor Stefan Uroš Dušan Nemanjić in the middle of the fourteenth. Fairly clear cases of the un-dead who attacked the living were described by William of Newburgh, who under the year 1196 notes in his chronicle the case of a ‘sanguisuga.’ Such episodes appeared also in various Icelandic sagas, which were usually recorded about the middle of the thirteenth century. The oldest Slavic stories appeared in a Czech chronicle of the fourteenth-century, but are preserved only in a dubious manuscript of 1587. In the Balkans, there are reports in Latin, German, and the native tongues dating from the fifteenth, sixteenth and especially the seventeenth centuries. During the sixteenth century, such anecdotes were related in Czechoslovakia, Poland, and particularly in German speaking countries, so that Martin Luther once had to reassure a fainthearted priest from Wittenberg that they were ‘des Teufels Betügerei und Bosheit; wenn sie es nicht gläubeten, so schadete es ihnen nicht’ (Tischrede Nr. 6823, Weimarer Ausgabe).

A few of the seventeenth-century rumors from many corners of Europe were printed in a number of languages. For some ‘incidents,’ up to twenty-three versions and re-editions have been identified, for example those in François de Rosset’s Les Histoires tragiques de notre temps (1605) and its German adaptation by Martin Zeller (1625). Corpses able to kill and to die a second time appeared also in such popular German anthologies of magical phenomena as Henning Gross’ Magica (1600) and especially Erasmus Franciscus’ Der höllische Proteus (1690 and 1708). A German scholar from Leipzig, Philipp Rohr, published the first scientific
investigation in 1679, the Dissertatio historico-philosophica de mastica-
tione mortuorum; he rejected some cases as unverified and explained
others through natural causes, but concluded that a few must be the
workings of the devil and of warlocks. Among serious writers, such
beliefs are mentioned by the Cambridge Platonist Henry More (An Ant-
idote Against Atheism, 1653, b. III, ch. viii-ix), Paul Rycaut (Histoire
de l'état présent de l'église grecque et de l'église arménienne, 1692), and
Joseph Pitton de Tournefort (Voyage au Levant, 1702). Two of the most
startling revelations appeared in the French journal Mercure Galant in
May 1693 and February 1694, which is exactly at the time deemed by
Paul Hazard to be the critical period of the enlightenment's 'crise de la
conscience européenne.' The first contribution, under the title 'Article
fort extraordinaire,' and the second, under the heading 'Traité sur les
Striges de Russie,' described vampiric phenomena in Russia and parts of
Poland, attributing the crimes to the devil or the body soul. The filthy
habits and the heretical creed of these barbaric peasants have provoked
such unusual visitation by a just Lord. These anonymous articles were
often reprinted in the eighteenth century, the reprints unobtrusively
substituting the terms vampire and oupire for the circumlocutions used
in the original. In any case, after Jan Sobieski's victory over the Turks at
Vienna in 1683 and the subsequent advances of the Austrian armies into
the Balkans, the time seemed ripe to show greater interest in the
backward and sinful ways of the Slavic tribes.

Delegated by Emperor Charles VI (Karl VI) as official Austrian
witnesses, Frombald, Glaser, and later Flückinger described in 1725 the
antics of Petar Plogojević (probably Blagojević) in the village Kisiljeva
and even more dramatically in 1731/32 the crimes of the Arnaoutin (i.e.
Albanian) Pavle (the Arnold Paole or Paul of Western sources) in the
hamlet Medveđa, very small places not far from Belgrade. These reports
launched the term vampire (or vampyre), a word of Slavic origin whose
beginnings are not known (from upyr, blood-sucker?) or, less likely,
derived from Turkish words for wizard and witch (über, uber). In 1725,
the news made head-lines in fashionable journals like Wiener Diarium,
Vossische Zeitung, Leipziger Zeitungen, Breßlauische Sammlungen,
and was publicized in broadsheets (the so called 'Fliegende Blätter'). In 1732,
the year of the great vampire scare, articles appeared twice in Le Glaneur
Historique, repeatedly in Mercure de France, and once in Mercure
and The Craftsman. In German speaking countries twelve books and
four dissertations appeared in this year and the next. Some books were
the result of the labours of more than one author, and one specialist,
Johann Christian Harenberg, wrote three volumes in seven years, but all
the works concerned stressed in their titles the sensational and bloody nature of the events, as well as the Christian and reasonable character of their own interpretation. Quotations and summaries found their way into standard encyclopaedias, like *Zedlers Universal Lexikon* (v. XLVI, 1745), and into popular works on other topics, for example the *Lettres juives* by the Marquis Boyer d'Argens (lettre 137, beginning with the 1738 edition, and also in the English and German translations), *The Harleian Miscellany: A Collection of Scarce, Curious and Entertaining Pamphlets and Tracts, As Well in Manuscript as in Print, Found in the Late Earl of Oxford's Library* (v. IV, 1745, sect. i-ii, pp. 348-59, often reissued), and, most particularly, into Dom Augustin Calmet's notorious *Dissertations sur les apparitions des anges, des démons et des esprits et sur les revenants et vampires de Hongrie, de Bohémie, de Moravie et de Silésie* (Paris, 1746). This latter work by the well-known French Biblical scholar and church historian was reprinted in 1749, and a revised edition published in 1751 under the title *Traité sur les apparitions ...*; it was translated into major European languages and read by most leading intellectuals of the century. The book contained an almost complete survey of all 'well attested cases.'

At this point it is useful to freely translate into English a few passages of the original report:

Having heard from various quarters that ... so-called vampires have been responsible for the death of several persons, by sucking their blood, I have been commissioned by Her Majesty to throw some light on this question. ... This report has been compiled with the help of the captain of the company of *heyduks* [foot soldier], and other most respected *heyduks* of the neighbourhood. After much questioning, these have declared unanimously that about five years ago a *heyduk* of the area, named Arnold Paole, broke his neck, falling from a hayrick: the said Arnold Paole had told various people, in the course of previous years, that he had been bitten by a vampire, near Cossowa, in Turkish Serbia.

This is why he himself ate earth taken from the tomb of a vampire, and bathed his wounds in the blood of a vampire (as is the custom) to "cleanse" himself of its cursed influence. However, twenty or thirty days after his death, several persons complained that the said Arnold Paole had come back to torment them, and that he had caused the deaths of four others. In order to put a stop to this danger, the *heyduk* suggested that the vampire be disinterred: this was duly done, forty days after his death, and he was found to be perfectly preserved. His flesh had not decomposed, his eyes were filled with fresh blood, which also flowed from his ears and nose, soiling his shirt and funeral shroud. His fingernails and toenails had dropped off, and others had grown through in their place, from which it was
concluded that he was an arch-vampire. So, according to the custom of those regions, a stake was driven through his heart. ...

He gave a great shriek, and an enormous quantity of blood spurted from his body. The body was burned that same day and the ashes cast into his tomb. But the people in these parts claim that all those who are victims of a vampire become vampires in turn when they die. That is why it was decided to execute the four corpses mentioned above, in the same way. ...

The *heyduk* Jobira let it be known that his daughter-in-law Stanojka, having gone to bed a fortnight before, in perfect health, had woken up screaming horribly; terrified, she claimed that she had been touched on the neck by a man who had been dead for more than four weeks, the son of *heyduk* Miloje. From that moment, she became weaker and weaker and died within eight days. ...

Of the twelve exhumed corpses, eight were ‘judged to be in a vampiric state’; the rest had decomposed. Stanojka still bore the marks of the night visitor: ‘under her left ear, we could clearly make out a bluish scar, from which blood had been sucked.’ The report, like many others, coyly alludes to the sexually aroused state of some of the male vampires.

After having taken the appropriate action, we ordered the heads of all these vampires to be cut off by some wandering Bohemians, their bodies to be burned, and their ashes scattered in Moravia, while the corpses found to be in a state of decomposition were returned to their coffins. I affirm — together with the assistant field surgeons despatched to me — that all these things took place just as we have reported them at Medwegya, in Serbia, on January 7th 1732. Signed: Johannes Flückinger, Regimental Surgeon [and four others].

The sensational news was earnestly discussed by the Academies in Vienna and Berlin, the Paris Sorbonne and the Budim and Vatican councils. Faced in later years with renewed rumours of epidemic proportion, the Empress Maria Theresia asked her personal physician Gérard Van Swieten to investigate the matter, Louis XV ordered the Duc de Richelieu to find out as much as he could, and the Prussian King Friedrich-Wilhelm I and lesser potentates of Germanic countries instigated similar inquiries; apparently, only King George II did not have questions. He staunchly believed in the existence of vampires.

Various explanations were offered. Some people of the cloth at first accepted the veracity of the alleged phenomena and attempted to find for them the proper theological context. Already Cardinal Prospero Lambertini, who later became Pope Benedict XIV, had very harsh words about ‘these priests who gave credit to such stories, in order to encourage simple folk to pay them for exorcism and masses.’ Others opted for
medicine and common sense. To them many stories were inventions or distortions; certain cases were to be understood as the consequence of food poisoning or the use of opium; in others, it was a matter of corporeal liquids only resembling blood. More systematic approaches included arguments about premature burial, unusually well-preserved corpses under exceptional soil conditions, natural growth of hair and nails after death, diseases, especially the plague, theological confusions due to the conflicts between Roman Catholicism and the Orthodox Churches, heresy, communal superstitions developing under the stress of national disasters and social contradictions. Dom Calmet, while leaning towards a sceptical point of view and duly mentioning all these theories, remained himself strangely undecided:

Mais supposant qu’il y ait quelque réalité dans le fait de ces Apparitions des Vampires, les attribuera-t-on à Dieu, aux Anges, aux Ames de ces Revenans, ou au Démon? ...
Il faut donc demeurer dans le silence sur cet article, puisqu’il n’a pas plû à Dieu de nous révéler, ni quelle est l’étendue du pouvoir du Démon, ni la manière dont ces choses se peuvent faire. ...
Car rien n’est plus mal fondé que ce qu’on dit des Apparitions, des vexations, des troubles causés par les prétendus Vampires & par les Brucolaques. Je ne suis pas surpris que la Sorbonne ait condamné les exécutions sanglantes & violantes, que l’on exerce sur ces sortes de corps morts; mais il est étonnant que les Puissances séculaires & les Magistrats n’emploient pas leur autorité & la sévérité des Loix, pour les réprimer. ...
Dans tout cela je ne vois que ténèbres & difficultés, que je laisse à résoudre à de plus habiles & plus hardis que moi.9

Some of the main figures of French Enlightenment found Dom Calmet’s hesitations quite ridiculous. Under the word ‘Vampire’ D.J., i.e. Louis, chevalier de Jaucourt, one of the most assiduous contributors to Diderot’s Encyclopédie ou dictionnaire raisonné des sciences, des arts et des métiers (v. XVI, 1765, p. 828) commented: Le p. Calmet a fait sur ce sujet un ouvrage absurde dont on ne l’aurait pas cru capable, mais qui sert à prouver combien l’esprit humain est porté à la superstition.10 And Voltaire’s own Dictionnaire philosophique, after the publication of the Supplement in the 1765 Varberg edition, contained a jibe under the heading ‘Résurrection’:

Le profond philosophe dom Calmet trouve dans les vampires une preuve bien concluante. Il a vu de ces vampires qui sortaient des cimetières pour aller sucer le
sang des gens endormis; il est clair qu’ils ne pouvaient sucer le sang des vivants, s’ils étaient encore morts: donc ils étaient ressuscités; cela est péremptoire.\textsuperscript{11}

Voltaire returned to the same topic in his \textit{Questions sur l’Encyclopédie} (neuvième partie, 1772) in a text under the title ‘Vampire,’ which was later added to the \textit{Dictionnaire philosophique} by the publishers of the posthumous Kehl edition of the \textit{Œuvres complètes} and since cited as belonging to his ‘Dictionary.’ The main paragraphs again displayed biting irony and a metaphoric use of the word ‘vampire’ which was by then common in Western languages:

Quoi! c’est dans notre XVIII\textsuperscript{e} siècle qu’il y a eu des vampires! ... C’était en Pologne, en Hongrie, en Silésie, en Moravie, en Autriche, en Lorraine, que les morts faisaient cette bonne chère. On n’entendait point parler de vampires à Londres, ni même à Paris. J’avoue que dans ces deux villes il y eut des agisteurs, des traitants, des gens d’affaires, qui sucèrent en plein jour le sang du peuple; mais ils n’étaient point morts, quoique corrompus. Ces suceurs véritables ne demeuraient pas dans des cimetières, mais dans des palais fort agréables. ... les rois ne sont pas, à proprement parler, des vampires. Les vrais vampires sont les moines, qui mangent aux dépens des rois et des peuples.\textsuperscript{12}

A parenthesis may be in order here. The first instance of a metaphorical use of ‘vampire’ probably occurred in a witty article on ‘Political Vampires’ published in May 1732 by \textit{The Gentleman’s Magazine} of London:

This account, of \textit{Vampyres}, you’ll observe, comes from the Eastern Part of the World, always remarkable for its \textit{Allegorical Style}. The States of Hungary are in subjection to the \textit{Turks} and \textit{Germans}, and govern’d by a pretty hard Hand; which obliges them to couch all their Complaints under \textit{Figures}. This Relation seems to be of the same kind.

These \textit{Vampyres} are said to torment and kill the Living by sucking out \textit{all their Blood}; and a \textit{ravenous Minister}, in this part of the World, is compared to a \textit{Leech} or \textit{Bloodsucker}, and carries his Oppressions beyond the Grave, by anticipating the \textit{publick Revenues}, and entailing a Perpetuity of \textit{Taxes}, which must gradually drain the Body Politick of its Blood and Spirits. In like manner, Persons who groan under the Burthens of such a \textit{Minister}, by selling or mortgaging their estates, torment their \textit{unhappy Posterity}, and become \textit{Vampyres} when dead. Paul Arnold, who is call’d a Heyduke, was only a \textit{Ministerial Tool}, because it is said he had kill’d but 4 Persons; whereas, if he had been a \textit{Vampyre} of any Rank, we should probably have heard of his \textit{Ten Thousands}. ... History, especially our own, supplies us with so many Instances of \textit{Vampyres}, in this Sense, that it would
fill Volumes to enumerate them. ... Private Persons may be Vampyres, or Blood-Suckers, i.e. Sharpers, Usurers, and Stockjobbers, unjust Stewards and the dry Nurses of the Great Estates; but nothing less than the Power of a Treasury can raise up a compleat Vampyre.\(^\text{13}\)

Throughout the eighteenth century, this usage can be attested in English, French and German letters. Among many examples, in Christoph-Martin Wieland's *Schach Lolo oder das göttliche Recht der Gewalthaber. Eine morgenländische Erzählung* (1778) there is the following: 'Nicht Menschen mehr, Vampyren nur erblickt, / Die an ihm saugen und ihn nagen.'\(^\text{14}\) (Five years later, Wieland used the word again, this time referring, albeit metaphorically, to the original meaning — *Clelia und Sinibald oder die Bevölkerung von Lampeduse. Ein Gedicht in zehn Büchern*, 1783.\(^\text{15}\) Oliver Goldsmith invented in his satirical *The Citizen of the World; or Letters from a Chinese Philosopher, Residing in London, to his Friends in the East* a Colonel Leach and a Major Vampire, and he linked certain judges to vampirism: 'a corrupt magistrate may be considered a human hyena, ... [he] sucks blood like a vampyre.'\(^\text{16}\) The *Songes d'un hermite* (1770) of Louis Sebastien Mercier contained in the guise of the thirteenth dream 'Les Vampires,' a satirical piece rejecting old wives' superstitions and condemning social bloodsuckers. A similar metaphorical use of the word vampire, linking him to booksellers, is present in Robert Burns' poem 'Thou Nature, partial Nature, I arraign ...'\(^\text{17}\)

Earlier in the century, the Swedish botanist Carolus Linnaeus (Carl von Linné) had erroneously applied the term to a harmless South American fruit bat. Later on, Parisian newspapers featured reports about vampire-like monsters which had been observed in South America, for example the *Courrier de l'Europe* in October 1784. And even to-day, any of several Central and South American flying mammals of the genera Desmodus, Diphylla, and Diaemus, which feed on the blood of man and other vertebrates, are known to zoology as vampires or vampire bats.

But let us return to the preternatural vampire. Rousseau as well treated this matter twice, although in passing and in a spirit different from that of Voltaire. In the first draft of his treatise on education, *Emile*, he used this example to make a point about the Church's reliance on miracles, and a little later, in defence of the same *Emile*, he printed an open letter to the Archbishop of Paris (*Jean-Jacques Rousseau, Citoyen de Genève, à Christophe de Beaumont, Archevêque de Paris*. Amsterdam, 1763). In his letter, Rousseau balks at Dom Calmet's apparent attempt to encourage an act of faith by use of factual evidence:

S'il y a dans le monde une histoire attestée, c'est celle des Wampirs. Rien n'y
In written literature, the first use of the vampire motif was made by Heinrich August Ossenfeld, a German poetaster, in his poem 'Mein liebes Mägdelein glaubet ...'; the I proposes to the girl 'Und wenn du sanfte schlumerst ... [ich werde dich] Und als ein Vampir küssen.' These playful lines were deemed by nineteenth-century critics to be very daring ('sehr anstößig' is the expression used by Erich Schmidt in 1887). In oral literature, besides a wide range of typical legends (usually classified today as 'Memorate's'), there were a few eighteenth-century versions of two types of the folktale. These are, in Aarne's and Thompson's classification, the Type Nr. 307: The Princess in the Shroud ('Die Prinzessin im Sarge und die Schildwache' in the Grimm manuscript) and especially the popular Type Nr. 365: The Dead Bridegroom Carries off his Bride (sometimes referred to as the 'Fiancée du Mort' or 'Lenore' type). Gogol's story 'Vij' and Juliusz Słowacki's 'Królewna strzyga,' works of the first half of the nineteenth century, were based on the first type; Gottfried August Bürger gave world prominence to the second in his ballad 'Lenore' (1773). While Bürger did not designate the unquiet bridegroom a vampire but only a revenant and a killer, his probable sources — often studied in great detail since — included tales with explicitly vampiric traits.

Nevertheless, the vampire entered great literature in a work by Goethe, who, as in many other areas, seemed to be able to combine and express the opposing tendencies of the times. A child of the cosmopolitan century, Goethe was the first to express the idea of world literature and to realize it in his own works which then rapidly became 'a spiritual bond among the nations.' Fritz Strich, who used these words in his book Goethe und die Weltliteratur (1946), went on to describe the following principal sources of Goethe's inspiration: the liberating impact of Shakespeare's drama, the classical balance provided by Italy, the formative discipline taught by French letters, the example of spirituality given by Spanish theatre, the expanding cultural horizon offered by the Orient, and the democratic spirit embodied by America. But in the context of the vampire-motif, Goethe's important contribution was the ballad 'Die Braut von Korinth,' and its roots are in part elsewhere, in more obscure soil. As often in his writings, Goethe borrowed from Antiquity, but transposed here the subject matter into a startling example of his own shocked fascination with the daemonic. The poet was, needless to say, aware of the darker side of this great ballad; by now well into his
classical period, the courtier of Weimar wrote in his diary of Jena under the 4th of June 1797: 'Anfang des Vampyrischen Gedichtes' ('Beginning of the vampiric poem'). In the evening he noted a discussion with Schiller about the 'Romanze' ('romance'), and already under the 5th of June the same diary mentioned 'das Ende des vampyrischen Gedichtes' ('conclusion of the vampiric poem'). This poem, the first in world literature to be based on the vampiric motif, was published a year later by Schiller in his Musenalmanach für das Jahr 1798.

Directly or indirectly, Goethe took the main plot from the *Antropodemus Plutonicus* (1666) by Johannes Praetorius, who printed a hellenistic tale recorded in the time of the Emperor Hadrian (117-138) by Phlegon of Tralles in his 'Book of Wanders' (*Peri thaumiōn kai makrobiōn*) and later copied by Proclus (412-485). The source tells a simple ghost story about a lecherous wench and it is only Goethe who combined this anecdote with two other topics, one being the problem of conflicting religious beliefs and the other the theme of the dead's inalienable right to love. This right had been mentioned by him in the *Sturm und Drang* ballad 'Es war ein Buhle ...' ('There was a dallier ...') of 1774, better known under the later title 'Der untreue Knabe' ('The faithless youth'). In *The Bride of Corinth* he added to this right of the dead traits derived from Serbian and Dalmatian beliefs about vampires. He knew the Serbian superstition from Dom Calmet's notorious *Dissertations*, a source very rarely mentioned in Goethe scholarship although the French historian already connected Phlegon's story with vampirism;\(^2\) information about the Dalmatian not quite dead was obtained from the travelogue of the abbate Alberto Fortis, i.e. his *Viaggio in Dalmazia*. The relevant chapter of this book on the customs of the Morlacchi ('De' costumi de' Morlacchi') had provided him twenty years earlier with the Italian text of the Serbian ballad 'Hasan-aginica,' which as 'Klaggesang der edlen Frauen des Asan Aga' became in Goethe's translation an internationally accepted example of folk poetry. The rather brief passage in Fortis about the existence of vampires contains also one of the oldest references to Transylvania.\(^2\) Regardless of Slavic additions, Goethe preserved the Greek setting, holding fast to the conviction derived during his trip to Italy that Antiquity is the ideal basis of European civilization.

The content of the ballad is well known. A pagan Athenian youth comes to Corinth to claim a promised bride. Since the betrothal by the parents, the girl's family has embraced the new Christian creed and sent the daughter to a nunnery, where she has died of sorrow. Ignoring these events and arriving late at night, the youth is led to a guest room. In the middle of the night, the maiden comes to his room and couch, to partake with him in the gifts of Ceres and Bacchus. The true nature of the girl is
suggested by stages: her pallid hand and pale face, her eager drinking of draughts of dark purple wine, her shudder­ing dread of the wheaten bread, the ice cold limbs and the breast without heartbeat. The impassioned youth insists: 'Hoffe doch bei mir noch zu erwarmen, / Wärst du selbst mir aus dem Grab gesandt!' (I could warm thee well with my desire,/ Wert though even from the grave ascended!). The poem reaches the tragic turning-point when the mother, hearing love’s mysterious stammerings and fiery protestations, enters the chamber expecting to find a shameless minion, but espies her own deceased daughter. The maiden 'hebet ... lang and langsam sich im Bett empor' (long and slowly rises from the bed') and arraigns her mother’s ascetic religion, because ‘die Erde kühlt die Liebe nicht’ (love must still burn on, though wrapped in clay); she proclaims at last her metamorphosis:

    Aus dem Grabe werd' ich ausgetrieben,  
    Noch zu suchen das vermißte Gut, 
    Noch den schon verlornen Mann zu lieben 
    Und zu saugen seines Herzens Blut. 
    Ist's um den geschehn, 
    Muß nach andern geln, 
    Und das junge Volk erliegt der Wut.  

She will rest in peace only if her corpse is burned together with that of the youth, because he, too, must soon decay, his black hair turn gray and his body die.

    It is instructive to compare the last stanza of the ballad (‘Eilen wir den alten Göttern zu’ — 'To the ancient gods aloft we soar') with the ending of Bürger’s ‘Lenore.’ Baroque images of man’s mortal coil are followed in ‘Lenore’ by the howling of ghosts; crying out in their dance of death, they proclaim the victory of unyielding destiny and confirm in extremis the mother’s Lutheran credo:

    Geduld! Geduld! Wenn's Herz auch bricht! 
    Mit Gottes Allmacht hadre nicht! 
    Des Leibes bist du ledig; 
    Gott sei der Seele gnädig!  

On the contrary, in ‘The Bride of Corinth’ tensions are not dissolved in religious belief and no solace is offered. The last words are uttered by the girl, who proclaims her defiant wish to soar to the ancient gods on the sparks of the funeral pyre. The ballad in this way sharpens the contrast
between the hellenistic, pagan enjoyment of the senses and Christian self-denial, and permits a reading which rejects Christianity’s fear of the body’s instincts and appetites. (Whoever wishes to read more of Goethe’s extreme attacks against Christianity and even against the person of Christ, may consult his surpressed *Venezianische Epigramme*, especially those taken in the twentieth century from sealed manuscripts, published in the last supplements to the monumental ‘Sophien Ausgabe’ of Weimar, and — to the best of my knowledge — never reprinted since.)

Goethe’s poem provoked a minor sensation. Of those who were close to the poet, only Wilhelm von Humboldt, the philologist and diplomat, showed sincere enthusiasm. Herder, at that time head (‘General-superintendent’) of the Lutheran church in Weimar and altogether cool towards his erstwhile close friend, rejected the poem as incompatible with his own Christian and spiritualistic convictions, and spoke acrimoniously of a priapic, revolting and disgusting topic. Even Madame de Staël condemned, in *De l’Allemagne* (1810), the ‘volupté funèbre’ (‘deadly voluptuousness’) of the poem, and French translators and literary critics shied away from the ‘paganisme de son sujet’ (‘paganism of its subject’). They simply eliminated the offending passages, which had not fared better in Madame de Staël’s detailed summary. To illustrate one characteristic nineteenth-century voice, the historian Jules Michelet, in his *La Sorcière* (1862), which is, incidentally, a book against the mediaeval church and in favour of nature, regards Goethe’s treatment of the antique story as a ‘pollution’:

**Goethe, si noble dans sa forme, ne l’est pas autant d’esprit. Il gâte la merveilleuse histoire, souille le grec d’une horrible idée slave. Au moment où l’on pleure, il fait de la fille un vampire. Elle vient parce qu’elle a soif du sang, pour sucer le sang de son cœur. Et il lui fait dire froidement cette chose immonde: “Lui fini, je passerai à d’autres; la jeune race succombera à ma fureur.”**

True enough, the Romantics reacted otherwise and Friedrich Schlegel declared, in one of the programmatic fragments of 1798, that this ballad (he called it a romance) was an epitome of the genre and that it ‘is epoch-making in the history of poetry’ (‘Epoche in der Geschichte der Poesie macht’). Indeed, in the twentieth century critics have also praised the immense poetic strength of the work, but have continued to be perturbed by the meaning. Three examples may stand for many. Max Kommerell in his famous *Gedanken über Gedichte* steadfastly rejected anti-Christian interpretations:

eine heftige, schwül gespannte Liebesstimmmung, die ins Gespenstische umschlägt,
schlieBlich die feierlich beschwôrende Verrufung der christlichen Frevel an Liebe, Leib und Blut aus dem Munde der Wiedergângerin; ... Die letzten Strophen rechnen unter die grôßten sprachlichen Taten dieses Dichters. Altertümlich ist also nur das Motiv, das weniger antik als slavisch ist, ein echtes Balladenmotiv, nur daß es hier eine weltgeschichtliche Tragweite bekommt. ... Dennoch ist es nicht erlaubt, das Gedicht als antichristliches Manifest aufzufassen. Es ist Ballade. ... Es gibt in Goethes Werk mehrere Ordnungen und mehrere Gerichtsbarkeiten. Und nur alle zusammen sind Goethe selbst.  

Emil Staiger, in the Artemis memorial edition reacted to this ballad and to 'Der Gott und die Bajadere' as to 'unheimliche fremde Gäste' ('uncanny alien guests'):


And one of the most recent interpreters, the academician Sergej Ivanovië Sobolevskij totally neglects the vampire element in his study 'Goethe's "Bride of Corinth" and its Antique Source' and then by necessity finds certain parts to be 'enigmatic' and 'unexplainable.'  

Goethe mentioned the vampire later, in passing, in the Second Faust, and praised John Polidori's The Vampyre, which he, with many contemporaries, mistook for a work by Lord Byron. Nevertheless, the aging court counsellor spoke often enough against 'morbid exaggerations,' Kleist's 'perversions,' the 'sick' and 'pathologic' romanticism, castigating the 'excesses' of that 'ultra-romantic' tendency which, in his words, swamps literature with infamies and revolting ugliness, and chooses its heroes among thieves and galley slaves, vampires and monsters. Victor Hugo's Notre-Dame de Paris merited the distinction of being the most disgusting novel ever written. Still, Goethe had himself given an early example of such ambiguities. He provided the very first, and for this reason the most disturbing, image of one of man's existential dualities: a poetic picture of that impassioned love which is also an obsession with death, of that lustful marriage of Eros and Thanatos which prefigures the voluptuous mating of Freud's sex and death instincts. Goethe's vampirism was, as a result, not only a forerunner of the romantic agony
depicted by Mario Praz in his inquiry into the beauty of the Medusa, the metamorphoses of Satan, the shadow of the divine Marquis, and *la belle dame sans merci*. It was also a milestone on the road to romantic exploitations of this motif in works by Southey, Coleridge, Lamb, Moore, Polidori, Shelley, Keats, Poe, Tieck, Brentano, Hoffmann, Nodier, Mérimée, Dumas, Baudelaire, Potocki, Mickiewicz, Gogol', Aleksej Tolstoj, and others. *The Bride of Corinth* is likewise the direct impetus of such works as Théophile Gautier’s novella ‘La Morte amoureuse’ (1836) and his poem ‘Les Taches jaunes’ (1844). It is tempting — and comparatists succumb easily to such blandishments — to perceive an uninterrupted red thread leading from this ballad to the peculiar concept about the relationship of love, beauty, and death expressed by August von Platen in the brief fragment ‘Tristan’ (1825):

Wer die Schönheit angeschaut mit Augen,
Ist dem Tode schon anheimgegeben,
Wird für keinen Dienst der Erde taugen,
Und doch wird er vor dem Tode beben,
Wer die Schönheit angeschaut mit Augen. ...

One finds here a concept which Richard Wagner and Thomas Mann later used so skillfully as an element of their large embroidered tapestries of music, poetry, and fiction. Love and death, love stronger than death, death which kills lovers — these are motifs which existed before Goethe and which can be traced back to Greek mythology. But his ballad is the first poetically perfect rendering of the violent erotic *Liebestod*.

Let us return to the opening proposition. Throughout the century — as shown by Georges Gusdorf and Roland Mortier among many contemporary scholars — the light of reason had to contend with that of sentiment, passion, enthusiasm, and genius, the striving for order and culture with that for freedom and nature. If Voltaire opted for scepticism and irony, Rousseau for the voice of intuition and emotion, Diderot and Goethe demonstrated the coincidence or alternation of both motivations within one life. Roland Mortier is justified, therefore, in rejecting the more traditional scheme of an eighteenth century characterised by a succession of three distinct phases: critical reason, preromantic sensibility, and romanticism, and in concluding that the century was founded on the dialectic between two main tendencies, that of the rational which dominates towards the beginning, and that of the irrational which becomes increasingly prominent towards the end.

It is useful to remind oneself that vampiromania, so popular in paraliterature since Polidori and Bram Stoker, and a favourite of modern
moviegoers and T.V. watchers, had already titillated the reading classes of the self-consciously civilized and enlightened parts of eighteenth-century Europe. And there is a more serious side to the delightful shivers provided by this motif. Borrowed from the darkest collective beliefs of the less progressive oral cultures of Eastern, Central, and South-Eastern Europe, the idea of the vampire met and merged with the deep shadows stretching from the dim, ancient past and reaching up from within the unfathomable depths of the Western psyche, revealing its powers of horror, its own abjection. Even Goethe, ever endeavouring to overcome a certain 'daemonic side' ('das Dämonische') in the world and in himself, nourished in his subconsciousness for long years the archetypal fear of the dead, the primordial dread of the woman, and for a creative moment succumbed to both.

MILAN V. DIMIĆ
University of Alberta
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